	Case 3:04-cv-05642-RBL Docume	ent 62 Filed 06/14/05	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
13	IACON LEE CHTTON		
14	JASON LEE SUTTON,  Plaintiff,		
15	V.	Case No. C04-	5642FDR
16	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,	SECOND ORD	
17	Defendants.	COUNSEL	
18			
19			
20	This Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28		
21	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Before the court is plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. (Dkt. # 57).		
22	This is the second such motion in this action. This motion is in letter form and addressed to a court employee Sharon Haas. (Dkt. # 57). As the court explained before.		
23	There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although the		
24	court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), can request counsel to represent a party proceeding <i>in forma pauperis</i> , the		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	court may do so only in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir.		
27	1986); <u>Franklin v. Murphy</u> , 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); <u>Aldabe v. Aldabe</u> , 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir.		
28	1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the		
. 3			
	ORDER Page - 1		

## Case 3:04-cv-05642-RBL Document 62 Filed 06/14/05 Page 2 of 2 merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. It appears that this case does not involve exceptional circumstances which warrant appointment of counsel. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel is **DENIED**. The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to plaintiff and remove docket number 2 from the court's calendar. DATED this 14th day of June, 2005. /S/ J. Kelley Arnold J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER Page 2